



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/090,000 | 04/08/2002 | Hideto Furuta | 356882001300 | 5823 | |
| 7: | 590 12/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | Morrison & Foerster 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue N W | | | ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A | |
| Washington, DC 20006-1888 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |

2654 DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ······································ | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summan | 10/090,000 | FURUTA | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Angela A. Armstrong | 2654 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u> | nril 2002. | | | | | |
| • | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | secution as to the | e merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | ÷ | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form P | TO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | ; | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | 1 | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio | - 1 | ed in this National | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) D Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | O-152) | | | |
| 2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 of P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | - · ·· -, | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim recites "the voice-actuated machine body control apparatus for a construction machine as set forth in claim 4, construction machine as set forth in claim 3." As the remainder of the claim language is identical to the claim language of claim 5, which actually depends from claim 3, the Examiner assumes applicant intended claim 6 to depend from claim 4 and the claims have been examined as such. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims recite the limitation "avoidance means capable of inhibiting, when the presence of an object outside of said machine body is detected by said obstacle recognition means, the machine body movement in accordance with the voice command preferentially to the control by said machine body control means thereby to avoid an inappropriate movement of said machine body." It is unclear as to what applicant is attempting to claim in regards to the "voice command preferentially to the control by said machine body control means." The Examiner has interpreted the recitation to refer to an avoidance means inhibits a requested machine movement if an object is detected by the obstacle recognition means.

Art Unit: 2654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000-56827 (translation) in view JP 05-47062 (translation).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, JP 2000-56827 discloses a voice-actuated machine body control apparatus for a construction machine (0009-0021), characterized in that it comprises: speech recognition means (1a) capable of speech-recognizing a voice command representative of an instruction by voice regarding a movement of a machine body (80) (0011,0014-0016); machine body control means (1e) capable of controlling the movement of said machine body (80) based on a result of the recognition by said speech recognition means (1a) (0011, 0014-0016).

JP 2000-56827 does not disclose obstacle recognition means (1b) capable of detecting the presence of an object outside said machine body (80) which may possibly make an obstacle to the movement of the machine body (80), and avoidance means (1c) capable of inhibiting, when the presence of an object outside of said machine body (80) is detected by said obstacle recognition means (1b), the machine body movement in accordance with the voice command preferentially to the control by said machine body control means (1e) thereby to avoid an inappropriate movement of said machine body (80).

Art Unit: 2654

JP 05-47062 describes an emergency shutdown system in vehicle system construction equipment and specifically provides support for obstacle recognition means (1b) capable of detecting the presence of an object outside said machine body (80) which may possibly make an obstacle to the movement of the machine body (80); and avoidance means (1c) capable of inhibiting, when the presence of an object outside of said machine body (80) is detected by said obstacle recognition means (1b), the machine body movement in accordance with the voice command preferentially to the control by said machine body control means (1e) thereby to avoid an inappropriate movement of said machine body (see 0011-0016).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the voice operated remote control system for a construction device as described by JP 2000-56827 to provide obstacle recognition and avoidance as suggested in the teachings of JP 05-47062, for the purpose of providing additional safety and awareness of the remote location.

- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000-56827 (translation) in view JP 05-47062 (translation) and further in view of JP 11-237892.
- 6. Regarding claim 2, JP 2000-56827 and JP 05-47062 do not teach the said avoidance means (1c) further includes priority degree determination means (1f) for determining a degree of priority regarding the inhibition of the machine body movement in accordance with the voice command, and an optimum machine body movement is performed using the degree of priority determined by said priority degree determination means (1f).

Art Unit: 2654

JP 11-237892 teaches a recognition system for controlling devices, which sets priorities for command control of the devices (0012-0014). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system for a construction device as described by JP 2000-56827 to provide obstacle recognition and avoidance as suggested in the teachings of JP 05-47062, and to further provide for degrees of priority in command control, so as to ensure appropriate commands are recognized and executed in the system and provide additional safety and caution of the remote control system.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lemelson et al (US Patent No. 6,553,130) discloses a motor vehicle warning and control system and method.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 571-272-7598. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11:30-8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Christians

Angela Armstrong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2654

AAA

December 11, 2005